Handle with care
Our main article in this issue gives guidelines about drug therapy for diarrhoeal diseases - which drugs to consider, how and when to use them and their mode of action. The need for further research and more extensive clinical trials in this area is clearly indicated.

Stopping up the leak is wrong
The idea of a drug which can ‘turn off the tap’ in diarrhoea has dangerous appeal. Recent controversial publicity has highlighted the existence of preparations which claim to have this effect. This is misleading. These drugs do not act in this way – their questionable role is merely to reduce bowel movements by paralysing the gut. Such drugs must never be given to small children for reasons explained by Professor Harold Lambert on pages four and five of this issue.

Cautious prescription
Toxic effects of drugs, dangers of antibiotic resistance and damage to normal bowel bacteria all underline the importance of a cautious approach in prescribing medicines for diarrhoea. Replacement of fluid losses is always the first step. The possible use of drugs comes afterwards and depends upon the probable cause of the diarrhoea and the facilities available to confirm diagnosis. Laboratory techniques will be discussed in issue 11 of Diarrhoea Dialogue to be published in November 1982.

Fluid losses must be replaced
The essential first aid treatment for diarrhoea, whatever the cause, is to replace water and salts lost. This simple measure saves lives and is the only treatment necessary for many bowel infections. Oral rehydration salts (ORS) solution can usually be given by mouth. Intravenous drips and nasogastric tube rehydration are only necessary in very severe dehydration where there is circulatory collapse, excessive vomiting or unconsciousness.

The message stays the same
In many cases of acute diarrhoea, therefore, the body will itself ‘turn off the tap’, given sufficient fluid input to compensate for the stool output. Chronic diarrhoea, however, has other implications and will be our main topic in a future issue of Diarrhoea Dialogue.

W.A.M.C and K.M.E
Children and sanitation

A recent evaluation of a primary school sanitation programme in Lesotho(1) found that socio-cultural factors greatly reduced the health impact of the programme. Results showed that:

- Only 10-15 per cent of school children used the new latrines daily.
- This in a society in which frequent bowel movements are encouraged as a sign of good health, laxative and enema abuse is widespread and diarrhoea is often endemic among the young during the hot, wet summers.
- Children under eight or nine years old are actively discouraged by parents and teachers from using the school pit latrines.
- Children’s reasons for not using the latrines included the bad smell, wobbly squatting slabs, lack of privacy and fear of bullying by older children.

The evaluation recommends that to encourage children to use latrines:

- Latrine designs should be better suited to the needs of rural children.
- Health education campaigns aimed at parents and children are vital.
- Local attitudes and practices should be incorporated into the latrine construction programme.

(1) Socio-Cultural Evaluation of the Primary School Sanitation Project 1981. Technology Advisory Group, World Bank, Washington. Further information on the evaluation is available from Piers Cross, Evaluation and Planning Centre, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK.

This poster from the Ivory Coast explains that enemas are bad for children.

Even in towns and cities, where conventional garden space may not be available, useful contributions to family meals can still be grown, using pots, trellises and rooftops in an imaginative, ‘vertical’ way.

Focus on ORT

This is the new logo being used by the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B) and illustrates the importance placed by the Centre on oral rehydration therapy in the management of diarrhoeal diseases.

Rice powder research

Readers who have written to ask us whether any further research has been carried out on the usefulness of rice powder as an alternative to sucrose in oral rehydration solution will be interested to learn that Dr Majid Molla and his colleagues at ICDDR,B have produced further information on this subject. The findings will be published in a forthcoming issue of The Lancet but in the meantime are available in issue 11 (volume three) of Glimpse, the newsletter published by ICDDR,B. You can obtain a copy by writing to Shereen Rahman, ICDDR,B, P.O. Box 128, Dacca 2, Bangladesh.

Publications

The UNICEF Home Gardens Handbook Newly published by UNICEF and available free from their offices, this handbook promotes home gardens as a valuable part of any country’s nutritional improvement programmes.

In the next issue . . .

Diarrhoea Dialogue 9 will look at the organization of supplies of ORS in health centres.
Health education

Slide shows in Bhutan

Paramedical workers in basic health care units near Bumthang are being taught with slide shows and simple text about the causes of diarrhoea and main control measures.

Dr Peter Leisinger and his colleagues at the Bumthang Hospital have prepared a slide show, using photographs taken in the communities where the material will be used, and a basic text on the main causes of diarrhoea. They have found that health workers are much more receptive to the messages that are being put across when they are illustrated with familiar photographs.

If you have access to a slide projector and can take some photographs in your community, this form of health education for teaching both health workers and mothers can be very useful. You may be able to use selected slides from other sets along with your own pictures to illustrate teaching about diarrhoea (see news page).

"A sunken fontanelle — an important sign of dehydration."

"Dirty feeding bottles — a cause of diarrhoea."

"A dehydrated child."

"Dirty bamboo tubes used for carrying drinking water — a major health risk."

"The signs of dehydration."

These illustrations are taken from the Bhutanese slide set.
Drugs and the treatment of diarrhoeal diseases

Cautious prescription

Professor Harold Lambert explains the clinical situations which justify the use of drugs in addition to oral rehydration therapy.

Two main groups of drugs are commonly prescribed in the treatment of diarrhoeal diseases:

1. Antimicrobial drugs — which kill the responsible organism and so lessen the illness.
2. Antidiarrhoeal drugs — which diminish the amount of fluid loss by various pharmacological mechanisms.

These two types of drugs are often combined and many preparations are marketed containing both antibiotics and antidiarrhoeal drugs. These combination drugs should never be used.

Only single drugs should be given and only where appropriate.

Antibiotics in bowel infections

For certain specific infections of the gut an appropriate antimicrobial drug is an important part of the treatment.

Shigella infection: In mild, transient diarrhoea caused by shigella, antibiotic treatment may be unnecessary as, for example, in mild Sonne or flexneri dysentery. Antibiotics are, however, an essential part of the treatment of severe bacillary dysentery, especially in infants with persistent high fever. Choice is difficult because transferable drug resistance has become very common in these organisms and local knowledge of their drug susceptibility has to be taken into account. Ampicillin or co-trimoxazole are usually suitable (ampicillin 100mg/kg/day in four divided doses for five days, or trimethoprim 10mg and sulfamethoxazole 50mg/kg/day in two divided doses for five days). Single dose treatment in adults with tetracycline (2.5g) is also very effective if the bacilli are known to be susceptible to this drug.

Campylobacter infection: Campylobacter jejuni may invade the bowel wall causing abdominal pain and mildly dysenteric stools. Most cases recover well without chemotherapy. Severe cases may be treated with erythromycin (40mg/kg/day in three divided doses for five days) but its efficacy is unproven. A recent controlled trial showed no clinical benefit from erythromycin but treatment was not started until an average of six days from the onset of illness.

Cholera: Several antibiotics, particularly tetracycline, have been shown to shorten the duration of the disease and are therefore useful in the management of cholera patients. Tetracycline is given as 50mg/kg/day in four divided doses for three days. Drug resistance is now being seen in areas where mass chemoprophylaxis has been carried out. Alternative drugs include furazolidine and chloramphenicol.

Enterotoxigenic and enteropathogenic E. coli: Relatively few clinical trials have been done on the effect of antibiotics in this group of bowel infections. Enterotoxigenic E. coli generally cause acute episodes of relatively brief duration, making antibiotics unnecessary. Because of the difficulty in identifying these organisms, there seems to be little justification at the moment for treating them with antibiotics. Similarly, for enteropathogenic E. coli, there is no clear evidence that antibiotics are beneficial.

Salmonella infections: For the vast majority of acute diarrhoeal illnesses caused by non-typhoid Salmonella strains, antibiotics do not change the course of illness and may actually prolong the period during which stool cultures remain positive. Salmonella septicemia, which may present in
childhood as a combination of diarrhoea with systemic illness and fever requires antibiotic treatment. Ampicillin, chloromycetin, or co-trimoxazole may be used, depending on the sensitivity of the organism. **Amoebiasis and Giardiasis:** Both these parasitic infections respond to several antimicrobial agents. Metronidazole is the first choice for either.

**Antibiotics in bowel infections of unknown cause**
The cause of many bowel infections is never identified, or the organism may be found after the acute illness is over. Antibiotics have no role in the treatment of the large group of viral diarrhoeas. It has sometimes been suggested that antibiotics should routinely be prescribed in case the illness turns out to be due to an infection for which antibiotic treatment is indicated.

**This practice is to be avoided for several reasons:**
- The giving of antibiotics may divert the attention of mother and nurse from the essential task of replacing water and electrolytes.
- The widespread use of antimicrobials promotes the selection of antibiotic resistant strains and thus lessens the likelihood that the drugs will later be effective for those few patients who need them.
- Antibiotics are expensive.
  
  The balance of factors therefore clearly lies against the blind use of antibiotics in diarrhoeal disease of unknown origin.

**Other drugs in gastroenteritis**
The most commonly used agents are kaolin and pectin in one or other of many available preparations, despite clinical trials proving lack of efficacy. Most children improve so quickly with fluid and electrolyte replacement that the use of 'constipating agents' is unnecessary in acute diarrhoea.

Drugs such as opiates, diphenoxylate and loperamide which reduce bowel motility, although widely used, should never be given to children. By slowing peristalsis they make the situation worse — this has been seen in a number of children and in volunteers with shigellosis. These drugs also depress respiration and are an important cause of accidental poisoning in childhood.

**Research**
Several research projects are underway aiming to find drugs which will reduce the abnormal transport of fluid across the small bowel mucosa. For example, anti-inflammatory drugs (aspirin and indomethacin) may decrease the action of cholera and other toxins acting on the bowel. Bismuth subsalicylate, in large doses, has been beneficial in adults with travellers' diarrhoea.

Other substances have also been tried; for example, chlorpromazine, which probably inhibits adenylate cyclase, was shown to reduce diarrhoeal losses in cholera. However, since it may cause drowsiness in children, and hence a decrease in fluid intake, it is unsuitable for widespread use. Attempts have also been made to prevent cholera toxin binding to the bowel wall, but these studies have not shown the method to be useful in practice.

**Conclusion**
Oral rehydration therapy remains the essential treatment and antibiotics are useful only in the few clinical situations described.

**Professor H.P. Lambert, Communicable Diseases Unit, St. George’s Hospital, London, UK.**

Practical advice series

Storing and maintaining supplies of oral rehydration salts (ORS)

Whether a country is producing ORS locally or using UNICEF sachets, the product must be properly stored so that it remains effective from the time it is delivered to the central store to the moment it is used. Sodium bicarbonate causes decomposition of glucose in oral rehydration salt mixtures. High temperatures and humidity may accelerate this process and manufacturers must consider these factors when preparing and packing ORS.

Storage

- Temperatures in buildings where ORS is stored should not exceed 30°C. Above this temperature the ORS may melt or turn brown. If this happens, it may be very difficult to dissolve and should not be used. If, however, it has only turned yellow, as long as it can be properly dissolved, it is still safe to use and effective.
- Supplies of ORS should not be stored in buildings with galvanized roofs directly exposed to the sun without adequate ventilation. These rooms get very hot.
- Humidity in stores should not exceed 80 per cent. In higher humidity the ORS is likely to cake or turn solid. Increase ventilation and avoid standing water in or near storage rooms.
- As far as possible, storage areas should be cleared of insects and rodents.
- Packets should be packed so they are protected from puncturing by sharp objects.
- UNICEF recommend storing their ORS sachets in stacks of cartons approximately 1 to 1½ metres high.
- A rotating system should be introduced so that the oldest ORS (identified by date and batch number) is used first. When in a hurry, avoid distributing the packets which are at the front or the top unless you are sure they are the oldest in the store.
- Regional storage areas should be located in places that will be convenient for subsequent distribution.

Regular inspection of packets

- Laminated foil ORS packets have an estimated shelf life of at least three years. Note the production date on the label. Packets of ORS must be checked regularly (every three months) to see if the quality is still acceptable. Open at least one packet in each batch to see if the ORS is usable. Locally produced packets of ORS are often packaged in plastic and will probably have a shorter shelf life. It is especially important to check them regularly.
- Check ORS packets in any boxes that appear to be damaged. Open at least one packet from the top, middle and bottom of the box to see if the ORS is still usable.

Keeping records at each point where ORS is received and delivered.

- Records should show:
  - the quantity, batch number or letter, and date received.
  - the quantity and date issued (i.e. sent from one point in the distribution system to another).
  - the amount currently in stock.
  - stock level at which a new supply should be requested.
- Records should also indicate any problems (such as spoilage due to a leaking warehouse).
- Supplies should be counted every three months and results compared with quantities shown in the records.
- The evaluation of stock is an important factor in determining future quantities of ORS required.

If you are interested in further information on local production of ORS and quality control, the following publications are available from the Programme Manager, CDD Programme, World Health Organization, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland:

- Guidelines for the production of oral rehydration salts.
- Good practices for the manufacture and quality control of drugs.

Preparing sachets of ORS in Bangladesh.
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WHO-EMR Training Centre for Control of Diarrhoeal Diseases, King Edward Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan.

Diarrhoea is the most common childhood disease in Pakistan. It accounts for half of all hospital admissions and one third of all outpatient visits of children under five years of age. It is estimated that 14 million episodes of diarrhoea occur each year in Pakistan and that more than 100,000 pre-school children die as a result of the disease.

Oral rehydration therapy (ORT)
Several studies carried out during the mid-seventies in paediatric centres in Pakistan have highlighted the advantages of ORT and promoted its acceptance by the medical profession.

Intensive efforts are now underway to train medical and paramedical staff in the use of ORT. A training centre for the control of diarrhoeal diseases was established in November 1980 at King Edward Medical College, Lahore, within the Department of Paediatrics. This is a national centre but also a regional base for the Eastern Mediterranean Region office of the World Health Organization. The Centre is associated with the College’s large in-patient and out-patient paediatric facilities, including an enteric diseases ward which provides an excellent opportunity for teaching and training in the management of diarrhoea.

Five courses
The Centre has already held five courses, each lasting nine days, and covering basic microbiology, pathophysiology, epidemiology and the clinical treatment of diarrhoea. Ninety-six physicians have so far been trained. The courses will be offered several times each year and special shorter courses are also being organized for paramedical personnel and hospital administrators.

It is expected that the continuing activities of the Centre will reduce mortality rates due to diarrhoea by more than 75 per cent over five years. The need for hospitalization and the present high cost of treating diarrhoea should also be considerably reduced.

Professor Shaukat Raza Khan, Director, Training Centre for the Control of Diarrhoeal Diseases, Lahore, Pakistan.

Indonesia: Inter-regional Training Course for Managers of National Programmes for Control of Diarrhoeal Diseases.

The sixth WHO training course for national programme managers was held between 25 January and 3 February in Cipanas, West Java. Participants came from 17 different countries including Indonesia, Liberia, Kenya, Nepal, Papua New Guinea and Vietnam. As with previous courses, the aim of the Cipanas meeting was to provide participants with management skills which they could apply at home in setting up and managing national CDD programmes.

Theory and practice
Discussions with course facilitators and other participants helped to link the theoretical problems in the training materials to particular situations that each manager would face at home. Plenary sessions were held on the clinical use of ORS, health education, research needs in CDD and evaluation. Participants also had the opportunity of visiting a factory producing ORS and an oral rehydration unit at a health centre.
The problem of mothers being unable to measure a volume of one litre accurately is one that has been discussed before and as far as I know no-one has come up with a really good answer. In some countries, and this may be true of Nicaragua, bottles of soft drink that measure one litre may be widely available. Rather less satisfactorily 200 ml bottles can be used as a means of measuring water into a pan or mixing bowl of some sort. This relies on practical demonstration using whatever is commonly available in the community.

Editors’ note:
The following letter has come from UNICEF in reply to correspondence in Diarrhoea Dialogue 7 about the lack of one litre bottles for measuring ORS in Nicaragua.

The problem of mothers being unable to measure a volume of one litre accurately is one that has been discussed before and as far as I know no-one has come up with a really good answer. In some countries, and this may be true of Nicaragua, bottles of soft drink that measure one litre may be widely available. Rather less satisfactorily 200 ml bottles can be used as a means of measuring water into a pan or mixing bowl of some sort. This relies on practical demonstration using whatever is commonly available in the community.

Bangladesh: solving a problem.

We have thought of — and even tried on a pilot scale — issuing one litre plastic jugs, but that is too expensive, down to simple polythene bags as a disposable measure but that does not seem to be too satisfactory either. If any readers come up with better ideas, we should be very interested to hear them.

It is of course possible to pack a proportionately smaller amount of powder into each sachet to make up a half or even a quarter litre of solution — that is probably more convenient, but it is also more expensive, and therefore we have not done this in UNICEF. Nonetheless, other people have — as an example, Laboratories Raven S.A. in Costa Rica produce packets of ORS under the name SUERORAL which are to be diluted with 240 ml of water and consist of:

- Sodium chloride 0.84 g
- Potassium chloride 0.54 g
- Sodium bicarbonate 0.60 g
- Dextrose 4.8 g

Other countries in Central America that are producing ORS sachets for one litre are Honduras (PANI) and El Salvador (Laboratories Carosa) and in Guatemala (Abbott Laboratories) produce an expensive solution (about US$2.00 for 400 ml bottle). All these alternatives are, however, more expensive, usually very considerably so, than the UNICEF ORS sachets.

In order to be able to produce the maximum amount of ORS for the available funds, UNICEF has produced its standard formula — we believe it is better to make it available to the maximum number of children in the world rather than make a more expensive product that can only reach fewer children.

Roger M. Goodall, Chief, Supply Specifications Section, UNICEF, New York, USA

Editors’ note:
A letter from Dr W. B. Greenough III, Director of the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR, B), prompts the editors to clear up any possible misunderstanding, following Dr David Candy’s article in Diarrhoea Dialogue 7, about the effectiveness of oral rehydration therapy in diarrhoea due to rotavirus infection.

Dr Greenough comments that “The key to the success of oral rehydration in cholera and other diarrhoeal diseases is that a carrier molecule, usually glucose, makes use of a pathway for absorption by the lining cells of the gut which is different from the pathway by which salt and water is usually absorbed. This pathway remains intact not only in diarrhoea due to enterotoxins, such as those produced by Vibrio cholerae and E. coli, but also in the case of rotavirus — where the destruction of cells is limited to the villus tip cells and does not affect other cells capable of utilizing carrier mediated transport for salt and water.”

Cuban interest

I am Professor of Paediatrics of the Faculty 1, Superior Institute of Medical Sciences, Havana City, and work at the “Pedro Borras” Children’s Hospital, Vedado, Havana City as head of the Gastroenterology Department.

In the past few days and for the first time, came into my hands a copy of your Diarrhoea Dialogue which I found most valuable. Even though in my country this disease is not any more the first cause of mortality in infancy, and the morbidity has decreased considerably, we still consider it of high interest from both the practical and the scientific point of view.

Dr Antonio de Armas, P.O. Box 6204, Vedado Plaza, Havana, Cuba

Editors’ note:
A Spanish edition of the newsletter (Dialogo sobre la Diarrea) is available. To be put on the mailing list contact Mr M. McQuestion, Pan American Health Organization, 525 Twenty-Third Street NW, Washington DC 20037, USA.

Clinician’s guide to aetiology

Diarrhoea Dialogue contains very useful practical knowledge especially for people working in rural areas in developing countries. You asked for comment on the clinician’s guide in Diarrhoea Dialogue 7. The guide is very useful for rural health workers who are exposed to the very first signs of the most common conditions. It offers a quick guide at a glance. Please include more of such clinician’s guides in future.

C. O. Kondo, Wajir District Hospital, P.O. Box 2, Wajir, N.E.P., Kenya
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